

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Ch

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE **>** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/522,752 03/10/00 ANDREW D 1855.1064-00 **EXAMINER** Г HM12/0710 HELEN E WENDLER TUNG_M HAMILTON BROOK SMITH & REYNOLDS PC ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER TWO MILITIA DRIVE LEXINGTON MA 02421-4799 1644 DATE MAILED: 07/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/522,752**

Applicant(s)

Andrew, et al.

Examiner

Mary B. Tung

Art Unit 1644

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	
	stute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status () Stad on	:
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
Zaji 11110 dottori io 1 1111 i=1	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>1-77</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above. claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
Claim(a)	is/are allowed.
6)	
7)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗓 Claims <u>1-77</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a☐ approved b)☐disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	miner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some* c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.
2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
14) LI Ackilowicogomoni is made to a same to a	
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20)

Serial No. 09/522,752 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot Program. If you have any questions or suggestions, please contact Paula Hutzell, Supervisory Patent Examiner at paula.hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I. Claims 1-14 and 51-53 are drawn an antibody to GPR-9-6, hybridoma and kit, classified in class 530, subclass 388.22 and class 435, subclasses 334 and 810.
 - II. Claims 15-18 are drawn to a method of detecting GPR-9-6 using an antibody, classified in class 436, subclass 512.
 - III. Claim 19-27, drawn to a method of detecting an agent which binds GPR-9-6, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - IV. Claims 28-31, drawn to a method of detecting an inhibitor of GPR-9-6, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - V. Claims 32-45 and 71-77, drawn to a method of treating a subject using an antagonist of GPR-9-6, classified in class 424, subclass 143.1.
 - VI. Claim 46-50, drawn to a method of modulating a GPR-9-6 function, classified in class 424, subclass 143.1.
 - VII. Claims 54-68 and 70, drawn to an antibody which binds TECK, hybridoma, and kit classified in class 530, subclass 388.23 and class 435, subclasses 335 and 810.
 - VIII. Claim 69, drawn to a method of detecting mammalian TECK, classified in class 424, subclass 145.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Groups I and VII are unique products. They differ with respect to their physicochemical properties and are therefore patentably distinct.
- 4. Groups II-VI and VIII and are unique methods. They differ with respect to ingredients, process steps and endpoints to achieve different goals. Therefore, they are patentably distinct each from the other.

Serial No. 09/522,752 Art Unit 1644

- 5. Groups I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. 806.05(h)). In the present case, the product as claimed, the antibody can be used in a method of protein purification using immunoaffinity chromatography, for example.
- 6. Groups VII and VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. 806.05(h)). In the present case, the product as claimed, the antibody can be used in a method of protein purification using immunoaffinity chromatography, for example.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and classifications, and because a non-patent literature and/or sequence search of any or these three distinct inventions would not be co-extensive with a search of the others, an examination and search of two or more inventions in a single application would constitute a serious undue burden on the Examiner, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the members of the groups are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the members to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.

If Group V is elected, the Applicants are further required:

- 9. To elect a specific disease: inflammatory, as recited in claim 32 or cancer, as recited in claim 71.
- 10. Applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a specific species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The response must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 4

Serial No. 09/522,752 Art Unit 1644

- 11. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the members of the species are not patentable distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the members to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.
- 12. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.141. If claims are added after the election, Applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 13. The following claim(s) are generic: no claim is generic with respect to Group V.
- 14. The species are distinct each from the other for the following reasons:
- 15. Inflammatory disease and cancer are different diseases, with different etiologies, clinical presentations and treatment modalities.
- 16. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).
- 17. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with $37 \, C.F.R. \, \S \, 1.48(b)$ if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under $37 \, C.F.R. \, \S \, 1.48(b)$ and by the fee required under $37 \, C.F.R. \, \S \, 1.17(h)$.

Conclusion

- Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1640 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). THE CM1 FAX CENTER TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.
- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Mary Tung whose telephone number is (703)308-9344. The Examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach

Serial No. 09/522,752 Art Unit 1644

the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1640 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

July 2, 2001 Mary B. Tung, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1640

MARY BETH TUNG, PH.D.
PATENT EXAMINER